

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
COURT FILE NO.: _____**

NATHANIEL QUENZER,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AMERICAN ACCOUNTS
& ADVISERS, INC.,

Defendant.

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for pendent state law claims.
2. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").
3. Venue is proper in this district because the acts and transactions occurred in this district, Plaintiff resides in this district, and Defendant transacts business in this district.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Nathaniel Quenzer (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in the County of Washington, State of Minnesota, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and/or a person

affected by a violation of the FDCPA with standing to bring this claim under 15 U.S.C. 1692k(a).

5. Defendant American Accounts & Advisers, Inc. (hereinafter “Defendant”), is a “debt collector,” as defined by § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA, operating from an address of 7460 80th Street Cottage Grove, MN 55016.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

6. Sometime prior to October 2011, upon information and belief, Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation that upon information and belief was primarily for personal, family or household purposes, and is therefore a “debt” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
7. Sometime thereafter, the alleged debt was consigned, placed or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
8. Defendant called Plaintiff and left a voicemail message on Plaintiff’s home telephone, which was a “communication” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2). Defendant failed to identify as a debt collector attempting to collect a debt as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).

Violation of The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

9. The conduct of Defendant constitutes numerous violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), and 1692e(11) amongst others.

Respondeat Superior Liability

10. The acts and omissions of Defendant, and/or the other debt collectors employed as agents by Defendant who communicated with Plaintiff- further described herein- were committed within the time and space limits of their agency relationship with their principal, Defendant.
11. The acts and omissions by Defendant and/or these other debt collectors were incidental to, or of the same general nature as, the responsibilities these agents were authorized to perform by Defendant in collecting consumer debts.
12. By committing these acts and omissions against Plaintiff, Defendant and these other debt collectors were motivated to benefit their principal, Defendant.
13. Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff through the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior for the intentional and negligent acts, errors, and omissions done in violation of federal law by its collection employees, including but not limited to violations of the FDCPA and Minnesota law, in their attempts to collect this debt from Plaintiff.

Summary

14. The above-detailed conduct by Defendant was a violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to the provisions of the FDCPA identified above.

15. Defendant's negligent and/or intentional acts resulted in the violation of numerous provisions of federal law and resulted in actual damages to the Plaintiff.

TRIAL BY JURY

16. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby respectfully demands a trial by jury. U.S. Const. amend. 7. Fed.R.Civ.P. 38.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I.

VIOLATIONS OF THE

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

17. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
18. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant and its agents constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA including, but not limited to, each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., with respect to Plaintiff.
19. As a result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3), from Defendant herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

COUNT I.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES

ACT

15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

20. For an award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant and for Plaintiff;
21. For an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant and for Plaintiff; and
22. For an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant and for Plaintiff.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 10, 2012

MARTINEAU, GONKO & VAVRECK, PLLC

s/ Mark L. Vavreck _____.

Mark L. Vavreck, Esq.
Bar Number #0318619
Attorney for Plaintiff
Martineau, Gonko & Vavreck, PLLC
Designers Guild Building
401 North Third Street, Suite 600
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Telephone: (612) 659-9500
Facsimile: (612) 659-9220
mvavreck@mgvlawfirm.com